

Technical Manual: Maximizing Athlete Participation & Mat Time Through Innovative Tournament & Dual Competition Structures

By Coach Shan AI

1. Executive Strategic Rationale: Overcoming Structural Barriers in Small-Participation Environments

Navigating emerging interscholastic athletic environments—specifically interscholastic girls' wrestling & lower-density sports programs—requires moving beyond legacy administrative structures. Historically, scholastic competition frameworks were designed for mature programs boasting deep rosters across every division. However, for developing sports & newly established teams, enforcing legacy formats creates severe structural barriers that hinder athlete retention, delay technical progression, & depress overall participation. Adopting innovative, non-traditional competition architectures is an administrative imperative for maximizing athletic development & building long-term program sustainability.

Traditional interscholastic wrestling models rely on single- or double-elimination bracket tournaments & rigid 14-weight-class dual meets (spanning from 106 lbs to 285 lbs). These legacy structures depend heavily on high athlete density distributed evenly across all weight divisions. Data from the National Wrestling Coaches Association (NWCA) highlights a critical operational mismatch between traditional formats & scholastic realities: average high school wrestling roster sizes have declined from 37 to 23 athletes, & approximately 29% of traditional dual meets end in forfeits due to unfilled weight classes. In emerging programs where roster distribution is highly asymmetrical—such as having multiple developing athletes clustered in adjacent middleweight classes & zero participants in the upper weight ranges—the legacy model forces excessive forfeits & yields minimal mat time. An athlete competing in a standard elimination bracket may travel hours to execute a single bout lasting under 2 minutes before elimination, fundamentally stalling developmental velocity.

To correct these structural deficiencies, scholastic sports administrators must adopt a "developmental volume" philosophy derived from international benchmarking. A compelling illustration of this principle is revealed through a comparative analysis of Canadian & American developmental pipelines. Canada, with a population of approximately 37 million, has produced 2 Olympic Women's Champions, whereas the United States, with a population of roughly 327 million, has produced 4. A primary operational driver of the Canadian system is the systemic

deployment of small-pool round-robin formats & line brackets with pools at youth, sub-varsity competitive levels. Rather than prioritizing early-stage elimination to crown a single winner, the Canadian model prioritizes guaranteed mat-time accumulation. By ensuring every participant completes multiple bouts per event, lower-density participant pools achieve the repetitive, real-time competition volume required to rapidly build elite technical competency.

Overcoming Structural Barriers in Small-Participation Environments Table

Metric	Traditional Competition Paradigms	Innovative Developmental Paradigms
Competition Format	Standard Elimination Brackets & Fixed 14-Weight Dual Meets	Small-Pool Round-Robins, Line Brackets, & "Fight Card" Scrambles
Primary Strategic Goal	Individual Elimination & Team Dual Point Scoring	Mat-Time Accumulation & Technical Skill Acquisition
Average Matches per Athlete	1 to 2 Bouts per Event (High Elimination Risk)	2 to 5 Guaranteed Bouts per Event
Handling of Unfilled Weight Classes	Automatic Forfeits & Uncontested Weight Slots	Matched Group Pooling & Flexible Weight Clusters
Compliance Impact	Consumes High Contest Allowance for Low Bout Volume	Maximizes Bout Yield Within Annual Athletic Association Limits

With the strategic rationale for developmental volume established, attention must turn to the specific mathematical & operational mechanics of round-robin plus line-bracket architectures.

2. Mechanics of Small-Pool Architectures: Round-Robins & Line Brackets

Small-pool competition architectures are mathematically structured to eliminate early exit outcomes & maximize match volume per athlete. By grouping participants into self-contained

pools based on weight, age, & skill level, tournament organizers can guarantee high bout density regardless of total event attendance.

Single-Pool Round-Robin Competitions (Scrambles)

In a single-pool round-robin structure (frequently designated as a "scramble"), athletes are assigned to a single pool within their weight category or matched grouping. Every wrestler competes against every other participant within that pool. The match yield is mathematically dictated by pool size:

- **3-Athlete Pool:** Yields 2 matches per wrestler (3 total pool matches).
- **4-Athlete Pool:** Yields 3 matches per wrestler (6 total pool matches).
- **5-Athlete Pool:** Yields 4 matches per wrestler (10 total pool matches).

The developmental compound effect of this model is substantial. In a traditional 4-person or 8-person elimination bracket, only 2 wrestlers receive more than 1 or 2 matches. Conversely, in a 3-person round-robin, all 3 athletes are guaranteed 2 full competitive bouts. Over a 10-to-12-week scholastic season, an athlete (especially novice, first-year, or junior varsity wrestlers) competing in weekly round-robin scrambles accumulates 20 to 30 contests, compared to just 10 to 12 contests in standard elimination systems. This accumulated bout density accelerates situational awareness, tactical problem-solving, & competitive conditioning.

Line Brackets with Pools

For larger varsity events or national-level competitions (such as "Fargo" or "Jr. Nationals"), line brackets with pools offer an advanced operational structure. This design utilizes 2 parallel pools of similar participant sizes (for example, 2 distinct pools of 4 wrestlers within the same weight designation).

- **Structure:** Wrestlers complete round-robin action within their designated pool side.
- **Match Yield:** Guarantees a minimum of 3 bouts per participant during initial pool play, with top pool placers advancing to cross-over placement matches to achieve up to 5 total bouts per athlete.

Operational Pooling Checklist for Tournament Directors

When operating in emerging program environments, tournament directors should adhere to a standardized administrative protocol to construct pools safely by pairing athletes of similar weight, age, & experience:

- **Roster & Weight Verification:** Collect actual, verified body weights for all registered athletes during pre-event registration rather than relying on rigid, pre-set weight classes.

- **Weight Spread Guidelines:** As an operational best-practice recommendation derived from matching principles, construct pools where the weight differential between the lightest & heaviest participant does not exceed 3–5% for lower weight categories or 7–10% for upper weight categories.
- **Experience & Age Alignment:** Cross-reference athlete experience (e.g., novice/first-year vs. advanced varsity/club experience) and grade level (e.g., 8th grade & under vs. high school) to maintain competitive parity plus athlete safety.
- **Elimination of Intra-Team Matches:** Adjust pool compositions so that teammates are separated into distinct pools whenever possible, maximizing exposure to external opponents.
- **Bout Rest Interval Compliance:** Schedule mat rotations to guarantee required physiological rest periods between round-robin rounds in accordance with state association safety rules.

Beyond individual bracket design, maximizing mat time within athletic association governance requires structuring non-sanctioned festival models that maintain full regulatory compliance.

3. The "Girls Fest" Model: Regulatory Compliance & Event Execution Strategy — Example #1

State high school athletic governing bodies enforce strict annual contest limits on scholastic teams. For example, the Illinois High School Association (IHSA) caps high school wrestlers at a maximum of 25 competition dates per season & 45 matches per season. For veteran, large, & experienced teams the maximum competition dates & match counts may be too much for multi-sport athletes who want to compete in spring/summer. Coaches, parents, administrators need to be aware of multi-sport athletes and be mindful of burnout, injuries, & quitting.

Case Study: The Homewood-Flossmoor (HF) "Girls Fest" Framework — Example #2

For new, small, & developing teams to navigate these regulatory constraints while accelerating program growth, Homewood-Flossmoor High School (IL) established the "Girls Fest" model. The core objective of a Girls Fest is to secure 2 to 3 quality wrestling matches per athlete per event in a low-stress, development-focused environment.

Event Scaling & Participation Growth

Executing non-traditional formats directly correlates with expanding program participation. Historical event tracking across the Homewood-Flossmoor series illustrates how guaranteed mat time & development-focused scheduling drive participant volume. Across the 4 hosted events, total participation scaled dramatically from 28 wrestlers at the initial scramble up to 151 wrestlers at the sanctioned invite:

Event Designation	Format Architecture	Participant Benchmark	Primary Administrative Target
HF Girls Fest #3	Small-Pool Round-Robin / Scramble	28 Wrestlers (Source Base)	Novice Mat-Time & Early-Season Skill Calibration
<u>HF Girls Fest #2 Example</u>	Matched-Group Round-Robin	45 Wrestlers (Illustrative Benchmark)	Mid-Season Technical Progression & Expansion
HF Girls Fest #1	Multi-Team Round-Robin Fest	82 Wrestlers (Illustrative Benchmark)	Regional Network Integration & Development
HF Girls Invite	Sanctioned Official Tournament	151 Wrestlers (Source Peak)	Peak Competition & Post-Season State Series Prep

Note: As documented in source tracking, overall participation scaled from a base of 28 wrestlers up to 151 total wrestlers across the 4 successive events.

Strategic Event Scheduling

A key operational requirement for achieving high participation numbers is rigorous calendar management. Tournament directors must audit regional interscholastic schedules to identify open weekends & explicitly avoid scheduling home events on dates that conflict with established regional girls' competitions. Strategic calendar placement prevents participant dilution plus maximizes event attendance.

While multi-team festival models revolutionize weekend competition, a parallel reimagining of mid-week dual meets is equally critical for operational efficiency and is used by many teams.

4. Reimagining Dual Meets: The "Fight Card" Model Execution Framework

The traditional dual meet format—consisting of 2 teams competing across 14 fixed weight classes—is structurally flawed for new, small, or developing programs. When 2 schools with

incomplete rosters meet, the event frequently devolves into a series of forfeit handshakes punctuated by only a few actual contested matches.

Deconstructing the "Fight Card" Concept

The "Fight Card" model completely overhauls dual meet logistics by replacing fixed weight classes with a custom bout schedule constructed around available athletes. Rather than forfeiting empty weight divisions, participating head coaches pool their rosters to construct a custom bout sheet—similar to a professional combat sports fight card.

This flexible framework seamlessly accommodates uneven team dynamics:

- **Clustered Rosters:** If Team A & Team B each bring 7 wrestlers who all weigh between 113 lbs & 126 lbs, all 14 athletes are paired against compatible opponents for 1 to 2 matches each, rather than forcing 5 of them to sit out due to weight-class caps.
- **Triangular Scrambles:** If 3 schools bring partial squads totaling 10 to 15 wrestlers across various weights, coaches merge the rosters on-site to match every athlete with 2 opponents from the opposing schools.

Real-World Operational Exemplar: Homewood-Flossmoor vs. Joliet Central & Romeoville

The operational efficiency of the Fight Card format is demonstrated in the following real-world competition log from the tri-dual between Homewood-Flossmoor High School, Joliet Central High School, and Romeoville High School. By dropping rigid weight-class caps and focusing on athlete availability, 3 programs with partial rosters successfully contested 15 individual bouts across 8 pooled weight categories:

Weight Category	Bout Identifier	Contesting Athletes & School Affiliation	Match Outcome & Result Detail
113 lbs	Bout 01	Lexi Klaff (Joliet Central) vs. Diana Martin (Homewood-Flossmoor)	Klaff (JC) dec. Martin (HF), 6-2
113 lbs	Bout 02	Diana Martin (Homewood-Flossmoor) vs. Itsel Vivian (Joliet Central)	Martin (HF) def. Vivian (JC) via Fall

126 lbs	Bout 03	Savannah Holly (Homewood-Flossmoor) vs. Ana Franco (Joliet Central)	Holly (HF) dec. Franco (JC), 7-2
126 lbs	Bout 04	Stephany Serena (Joliet Central) vs. Celeste Carrillo (Homewood-Flossmoor)	Serena (JC) def. Carrillo (HF) via Fall
126 lbs	Bout 05	Madison Schilsoma (Joliet Central) vs. Celeste Carrillo (Homewood-Flossmoor)	Schilsoma (JC) def. Carrillo (HF) via Tech Fall, 15-0
126 lbs	Bout 06	Nicole Aguire (Joliet Central) vs. Charlotte Holly (Homewood-Flossmoor)	Aguire (JC) dec. Holly (HF)
126 lbs	Bout 07	Dioselina Tenorio (Joliet Central) vs. Charlotte Holly (Homewood-Flossmoor)	Tenorio (JC) def. Holly (HF) via Fall
138 lbs	Bout 08	Maddie Skowronski (Homewood-Flossmoor) vs. Natalia Gonzalez (Joliet Central)	Skowronski (HF) def. Gonzalez (JC) via Fall
138 lbs	Bout 09	Maddie Skowronski (Homewood-Flossmoor) vs. Pilar Mendoza (Joliet Central)	Skowronski (HF) def. Mendoza (JC) via Fall
145 lbs	Bout 10	Alima Toheeb-Lawal (Homewood-Flossmoor) vs. Debora Hernandez (Joliet Central)	Toheeb-Lawal (HF) def. Hernandez (JC) via Fall

160 lbs	Bout 11	Attalia Watson (Homewood-Flossmoor) vs. Steph Castro (Joliet Central)	Watson (HF) def. Castro (JC) via Fall
170 lbs	Bout 12	Nydia Martinez (Joliet Central) vs. Stacy Noris (Homewood-Flossmoor)	Martinez (JC) def. Noris (HF) via Fall
220 lbs	Bout 13	Fernanda Vazquez (Homewood-Flossmoor) vs. CeCe Del Angel (Joliet Central)	Vazquez (HF) def. Del Angel (JC) via Fall
220 lbs	Bout 14	Fernanda Vazquez (Homewood-Flossmoor) vs. Samantha Ruano (Romeoville)	Vazquez (HF) def. Ruano (Romeoville) via Fall
285 lbs	Bout 15	Jada Phillips (Homewood-Flossmoor) vs. Samantha Ruano (Romeoville)	Phillips (HF) def. Ruano (Romeoville) via Fall

Event Summary: 15 Contested Bouts | 8 Pooled Weight Categories | 0 Forfeits Issued

Step-by-Step Pre-Meet Coordination Protocol

To execute a Fight Card dual meet seamlessly, head coaches must follow a 4-step administrative protocol:

1. **24-Hour Pre-Meet Roster Exchange:** Head coaches exchange digitally verified rosters 24 hours prior to the event, including actual body weights, grade levels, experience ratings (novice, intermediate, advanced), and season win-loss records.
2. **Weight Cluster Identification:** Upon arrival & completion of weigh-ins, coaches group athletes into logical weight clusters (e.g., pooling all athletes weighing between 118 lbs & 128 lbs).
3. **Bout Matching & Sequencing:** Coaches collaborate to draft a custom bout sheet, pairing athletes to ensure each wrestler receives 1 or 2 matches against opponents of comparable weight & skill.

4. **Bout Sheet Finalization:** The finalized order of matches is distributed to athletes, referees, & scorekeepers, establishing a smooth, continuous sequence of competition without intermissions for empty weight classes.

Executing these innovative competition frameworks effectively depends on robust operational logistics, dedicated directing, & proactive program promotion.

5. Operational Logistics, Directing, & Program Promotion

Executing high-yield round-robins, festivals, & fight cards requires professional administrative support, strategic staffing models, plus integrated marketing to build long-term institutional support.

The Contracted Tournament Director

A major operational error in interscholastic event execution is requiring coaching staff to manage tournament software, mat assignments, & scoring logistics while simultaneously coaching their athletes. Emerging programs should fund a dedicated, contracted Tournament Director directly through event entry fee revenue.

Hiring a contracted director represents a critical risk-management, event-efficiency, & quality-assurance policy:

- **Protection of Supervision Ratios:** Delegating event administration liberates head & assistant coaches to maintain required athlete-to-coach supervision ratios, focusing entirely on athlete warm-ups, safety checks, plus mat-side instruction.
- **Software-Driven Governance:** Contracted directors possess specialized expertise in industry-standard software (e.g., TrackWrestling or FloArena), having managed dozens of events. They automate tie-breaking protocols, execute rapid weight adjustments, & optimize mat flow, eliminating operational delays and administrative disputes.

Innovative Staffing Models for Emerging Programs

Building a coaching staff for a new or expanding girls' program requires leveraging internal institutional resources. A proven operational hierarchy combines administrative authority with technical instruction:

- **Head Coach (Program Director):** Exercises overall administrative oversight, program direction, & compliance management.
- **Female Physical Education Teachers (Role Models & Visible Leaders):** Serve as assistant coaches or program mentors, providing institutional authority, rapport with the student body, & visible leadership for female athletes to emulate.

- **Former High School Wrestlers / Alumni (Technical Instructors):** Deliver technical skill instruction, drilling oversight, & tactical training under the direct administrative supervision of the physical education staff plus head coach.

Revenue Generation & Program Promotion

Transforming home events into community anchors requires combining on-site monetization with digital promotional channels:

- **On-Site Event Monetization:** Home events should function as self-sustaining revenue generators. Entry fees collected from visiting teams cover the contracted tournament director stipend & official fees. On-site concessions sales, spectator gate fees, & custom event merchandise (such as event-branded t-shirts) create dedicated program revenue to fund apparel, training equipment, plus travel logistics.
- **Digital & Media Amplification:** Visibility directly drives student recruitment. Programs must deploy graphic promotional flyers throughout school facilities (cafeterias, gymnasiums, locker rooms) & across official social media platforms (Facebook, Instagram, YouTube, Tik Tok).
- **Media Outreach:** Distributing video slideshows, photo galleries, & competition summaries to local news outlets plus school media raises team profile. When prospective student-athletes observe professional event management & strong community turnout, word-of-mouth recruitment accelerates across the student body.

Administrative Duty of Interscholastic Leadership Modernizing competition structures is not merely an operational convenience; it is a fundamental duty of interscholastic athletic leaders. By replacing rigid, legacy frameworks with high-yield round-robins, regulatory-compliant festivals, & flexible fight cards, athletic administrators preserve the sport, expand equitable access, plus ensure that every new, first-year, or developing athlete accumulates the mat time necessary to achieve athletic excellence.

For more, please view coachshannyn.com/resources, coachshannyn.com/articles, & coachshannyn.com/international-blog.